

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

een

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/635,777	08/07/2003	Akiyoshi Mikami	50024-015	1705
Manedmott	7590 01/28/2008 C, WILL & EMERY	EXAMINER		
600 13th Street	, N.W.	THOMPSON, CAMIE S		
Washington, D	C 20005-3096		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	1794	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/635,777	MIKAMI, AKIYOSHI			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Camie S. Thompson	1794			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
Period fo	• •	VIO OFT TO EVOIDE AN	AONTHAN OF THEFTA (20) DAVO			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI e, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Ame	endment November 15, 20	<u>007</u> .			
·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.[	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)	Claim(s) <u>13,14,21 and 22</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>13,14,21 and 22</u> is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b)  objected to	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- ·				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E					
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documen</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documen</li> </ol>		Application No.			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior					
	application from the International Burea	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for a list		t received.			
			·			
Attachmer		A) 🗀 lates ::	Summary (PTO-413)			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/20/07</u> .	5)	Informal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number:

10/635,777 Art Unit: 1794 Page 2

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. Applicant's amendment and accompanying remarks filed November 15, 2007 are acknowledged.
- 2. Examiner acknowledges amended claim 13.
- 3. Examiner acknowledges newly added claims 21-22.
- 4. The rejection of claims 13-14 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanaka et al., U.S. Patent Number 4,727,004 is overcome by applicant's amendment.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 13-14 and 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 64-027194 in view of Okajima et al., U.S. Patent Number 5,700,591.

The Japanese reference discloses a thin film EL element comprising a transparent electrode; a glass substrate; a first insulation layer; a light emitting layer comprising a composition of Mg<sub>1-x</sub>Ca<sub>x</sub>S wherein the value of x is 0<x≤0.9; a second insulating layer; and an aluminum electrode as required by present claim 13 (see abstract). The Japanese reference does not disclose the material of the first insulation. Okajima discloses a light emitting thin film element comprising a light emitting layer sandwiched in between two barrier layers wherein the light emitting layer can comprise an alkaline earth sulfide such as calcium magnesium sulfide (see

Application/Control Number:

10/635,777 Art Unit: 1794

column 1, line 65-column 2, line 4). The Japanese reference also discloses that the barrier layers can be magnesium sulfide as per instant claim 1 (see column 5, lines 5-34). Neither the Japanese reference nor the Okajima does not disclose that the composition of Eu to Mg is not larger than 0.1. However, this is an optimizable feature. The concentration of the activator affects the luminescence of the light emitting layer. Discovery of optimum values of a result effect variable Involves only routine skill in the art *in re Boesch*, 617, F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have a composition ratio of Eu to Mg being not larger than 0.1 in order to have a device that his higher luminescence. Additionally, neither reference discloses that the magnesium sulfide and the Mg<sub>1-x</sub>Ca<sub>x</sub>S have an orientation n the <100> direction. However, this is an optimizable feature. The orientation of the first and second semiconductor compound prevents crystal lattice defects. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have the compounds oriented in the <100> direction so as to prevent crystal lattice defects when the materials are subjected to a high electric field.

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

10/635,777 Art Unit: 1794

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Camie S. Thompson whose telephone number is (571) 272-1530. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris, can be reached at (571) 272-1478. The fax phone number for the Group is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Arti Singh)
primary Patent Examiner 1799
1/20/08